

Adversity and Emotional Functioning

Helen M. Milojevich<sup>1</sup>, Kristen A. Lindquist<sup>2</sup>, and Margaret A. Sheridan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center

<sup>2</sup>University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

*The version of record of this article, first published in *Affective Science*, is available online at Publisher's website: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42761-021-00054-w>*

Declarations

Helen M. Milojevich, Department of Pediatrics, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center. Kristen A. Lindquist and Margaret A. Sheridan, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. This work was supported in part by a postdoctoral fellowship provided by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (T32-HD07376) through the Center for Developmental Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, to H. M. Milojevich.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Helen M. Milojevich, Department of Pediatrics, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, 940 NE 13th Street, Nicholson Tower Suite 4946, Oklahoma City, OK 73104. E-mail: [helen-milojevich@ouhsc.edu](mailto:helen-milojevich@ouhsc.edu).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. All authors contributed to the conceptualization and writing of this review.

Abstract

Exposure to early adversity has been linked to variations in emotional functioning. To date, however, the precise nature of these variations has been difficult to pinpoint given widespread differences in the ways in which aspects of emotional functioning are defined and measured. Here, more consistent with models of emotional functioning in typically developing populations (e.g., Halberstadt, Denham, & Dunsmore, 2001), we propose defining emotional functioning as consisting of distinct domains of emotion expression, perception, knowledge, reactivity, and regulation. We argue that this framework is useful for guiding hypothesis generation about the specific impact of early adversity on children's emotional functioning. We operationalize the construct of emotional functioning, highlight what is currently known about the association between adversity exposure and each domain of emotional functioning, propose potential mechanisms for these associations, and set the stage for future research examining the development of emotional functioning in the context of early adversity.

*Keywords:* early adversity, emotional functioning, psychological construction, maltreatment, DMAP, parent socialization

### Adversity and Emotional Functioning

Emotional functioning is a broad construct comprised of multiple domains that describes one's expression, perception, and conceptualization of emotions. Evidence (Machlin, Miller, Snyder, McLaughlin, & Sheridan, 2019; Milojevich, Norwalk, & Sheridan, 2019; Shablack, Becker, & Lindquist, 2020;) and theory (Shablack & Lindquist, 2019; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014) suggest that exposure to adversity during childhood shapes the development of emotional functioning. However, the body of evidence linking adversity to emotional functioning currently lacks a framework for integrating and interpreting the impact of early adversity on emotional functioning. In this review, we first use an affective science perspective to operationalize the construct of emotional functioning, building on prior work (Halberstadt, et al., 2001). We then review what is currently known about how adversity exposure impacts each domain of emotional functioning and propose potential mechanisms for these associations. We close by setting the stage for future research examining the development of emotional functioning in the context of early adversity.

### **What is an Emotion?**

Drawing on a psychological constructionist theory of emotion (Barrett, 2020; Clore & Ortony, 2008; Hoemann et al., 2020; Russell, 2003; Widen, 2013), we argue that emotional experiences are affective reactions that are made meaningful and categorized as specific emotions (e.g., anger, fear, sadness) in a given context based on conceptual knowledge learned throughout childhood. Conceptual knowledge is the collection of semantic or episodic representations that an individual possesses about specific emotion categories (for review, Lindquist et al., 2015). Hence, a child may learn that one feels “angry” when they feel unpleasant, highly activated affect following a blocked goal, but that they feel “sad” when they feel unpleasant, highly activated affect following a loss or a failure. Accordingly, features of the

developmental environment such as exposure to a range of emotional situations that allow a child to develop a rich cache of conceptual knowledge about emotions play a fundamental role in shaping children's experience, expression, and perception of emotions in others.

This model stands in contrast to a "basic emotion" theory that infants are born with an innate ability to experience and perceive in others a set of discrete emotion categories such as anger, fear, disgust, sadness, and joy (Ekman et al., 1987; Izard, 1971; Tracy & Robins, 2008). Although basic emotion models allow for a role of learning in emotion (Ekman & Cordaro, 2011; Izard, 2011), they conceive of early environment and caregiver socialization as less fundamental to emotional functioning. Rather, in a psychological constructionist approach (Barrett, 2020; Clore & Ortony, 2008; Hoemann et al., 2020; Russell, 2003; Widen, 2013), the early environment is able to exert an effect on the development of emotion from the earliest moments of infancy. Across early infancy, caregivers scaffold the development of affective responses by shaping the infant's physical and social environment (Atzil et al., 2018; Lindquist et al., 2015; Shablack & Lindquist, 2019). For example, there is evidence that caregiver's tendency to use more emotion words in spoken discourse longitudinally predicts children's emotional functioning (e.g., greater emotion knowledge; Aznar & Tenebaum, 2013; emotion regulation efficacy; Speidel, Wang, Cummings, & Valentino, 2020). Exposure to early environments that are marked by adversity should similarly impact the development of emotional functioning because they introduce the child to extreme emotional environments (e.g., in the case of violence exposure) or result in a lack of learning experiences about emotion for the child (e.g., in the case of neglect; McLaughlin, Sheridan, & Nelson, 2017).

### **Theories of Adversity**

Much research examines the impact of early adversity on child development. These studies generally take one of two approaches. On the one hand, types of early adversity exposure are examined separately, with large bodies of work investigating child maltreatment, poverty, institutionalization, neighborhood violence, and other forms of adversity in isolation, despite findings that these forms of adversity co-occur at greater than chance levels. On the other hand, there are cumulative risk approaches in which all forms of adversity are summed to create a score indicating the total amount of adversity exposure children have experienced (Evans et al., 2013; Felitti et al., 1998). This approach acknowledges the fact that adversities are clustered by contextual variables so that co-occurrence is likely, but ignores the possibility that types of adversities may differentially impact developmental processes or outcomes. A third approach that is recently gaining traction is to identify underlying dimensions of adversity exposure that allow researchers to identify the *degree* of exposure while also examining differential effects of certain classes of adversity on child development (Humphreys & Zeanah, 2015; Lawson et al., 2017; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; McLaughlin, Sheridan, Lambert, 2014).

One such ‘dimensional model’ is the Dimensional Model of Adversity and Psychopathology (DMAP) proposed by Sheridan and McLaughlin (2014). This model posits a distinction among types of adversities, specifically between adversities characterized by a lack of early learning experiences, or deprivation, and those characterized by the presence of violence, or threat. Within DMAP, *deprivation* refers to the absence of species- and age-expectant cognitive and social inputs, whereas exposure to *threat* involves the presence of an atypical event in which a child experiences actual or threatened physical harm (McLaughlin, Sheridan, & Lambert, 2014; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; 2016). As such, deprivation is a central feature of neglect, institutionalization, and the lack of psychosocial stimulation that can occur in poverty,

and threat is a central feature of physical abuse, sexual abuse, and community violence exposure. Although exposure to deprivation and threat may co-occur for children, these dimensions can be measured separately and, as has been demonstrated, have unique effects on developmental outcomes (Lambert et al., 2017; Machlin et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2018; Milojevic et al., 2019; Sheridan et al., 2017, 2019).

Although very little research to date has explicitly applied DMAP to examining the full range of emotional functioning proposed herein, we propose that it may be a particularly fruitful framework for examining emotional functioning following early life adversity. In the subsequent sections, we propose a framework for examining emotional functioning in the presence of early adversity and review the existing findings. For each finding, we specify which type of adversity was examined with the caveat that most studies investigate a single form of adversity (e.g., child maltreatment) in isolation and thus make comparisons across adversity types or across degrees of exposure on a given form of emotional functioning difficult. We end our review with a discussion of how DMAP may be a useful framework for generating hypotheses to test the differential effect of adversity type on specific domains of children's emotional functioning.

### **The Impact of Early Adversity on Emotional Functioning**

Extensive scientific theorizing has focused on defining and operationalizing optimal emotional function. Here we rely on conceptualizations of emotional functioning from developmental theories (e.g., Bohnert, Crnic, & Lim, 2003; Curby et al., 2015; Denham et al., 2003; Domitrovich, Durlak, Staley, & Weissberg, 2017; Mathews, Koehn, Abtahi, & Kerns, 2016; Oberle, 2018) and psychological constructionist theories of emotion (Atzil et al., 2018; Hoemann et al., 2020; Lindquist et al., 2015; Shablack & Lindquist, 2019). In particular, we draw from models that have referred to children's emotional or affective "competence"

(Denham, 1998; Halberstadt, Denham, & Dunsmore, 2001; Saarni, 1999) because like a constructionist approach, these models assume that emotional abilities develop in large part due to experience and caregiver input. These competence models typically include a broad range of processes related to inferring emotional states, understanding causes and consequences of emotions, modulating, appraising and expressing emotion, the utilization of emotion to guide decisions and behaviors, experiencing varied and well-differentiated emotions, and communication of emotions (Denham, 1998; Halberstadt et al., 2001; Lindquist & Barrett, 2008; Saarni, 1999; Salovey & Mayer, 1990)<sup>1</sup>. Such models typically assume that emotional abilities range from maladaptive to average to highly adaptive.

Building off this foundational groundwork (e.g., Saarni, 1999; Halberstadt et al. 2001), we conceptualize five domains of emotional functioning that collectively contribute to normative socio-emotional functioning and that are each empirically impacted by early life adversity (Table 1). We refer to emotional *functioning* rather than emotional *competence* because research on early adversity has often focused on deficits associated with exposure rather than conceptualizing development in the context of adversity as a form of adaptation (Cicchetti, 2013). Our focus is on identifying how adversity-exposed children are functioning, what impacts their functioning, and how to bolster their strengths while reducing maladaptive responses to promote resilience, well-being, and health.

Our five domains of emotional functioning build in part on three fundamental processes first proposed by Halberstadt and colleagues (2001; Figure 1), but also incorporate domains that are most frequently studied in adversity-exposed children. Although the Affective Social

---

<sup>1</sup>Still other constructs of emotion exist (e.g., emotional clarity, emotion awareness, emotion abstraction; Boden et al., 2013; Nook et al., 2018; Rieffe et al., 2008).



Competence model proposed by Halberstadt and colleagues (2001) has been influential in informing studies of emotional functioning in normative populations, empirical studies in adversity-exposed children focus most frequently on the individual domains of emotion expression, perception, knowledge, reactivity, and regulation. Moreover, constructs such as “experiencing emotion” may obscure mechanisms and ultimately be too broad to guide hypothesis generation. Our goal is to utilize existing emotion theory to carefully define these five domains and use these definitions to (1) inform more precise measurement of each domain, (2) identify which aspects of and how emotional functioning is altered in adversity, and (3) reveal new directions for future research to compliment and extend existing findings on the role of early life adversity in emotional functioning.

### **Emotion Expression**

*Emotion expression* refers to the facial, bodily, and vocal behaviors associated with emotional experiences (Russell, Bachorowski & Fernandez-Dols, 2003) and is most often measured via observations of children’s emotional behaviors (e.g., level of perceived positive affect in facial, bodily, or vocal behaviors). One of the most common methods for assessing emotion expression in infants or children is through observations of naturalistic settings (in the home, at school) or laboratory-based tasks designed to elicit emotions (Camras et al., 1990; Hernández et al., 2016; Quas, Hong, Alkon, & Boyce, 2000). These play or laboratory-based tasks are usually video-recorded and coded by researchers using established coding schemes (e.g., the Facial Action Coding System; Ekman & Friesen, 1978).

It is clear that some aspects of emotion expression are present at birth and are relatively automatic. Indeed, infants produce positive and negative facial expressions from birth (Malatesta et al., 1989) and their vocal acoustics signal arousal (Russell et al., 2003). However, it is less

clear that children (or even adults) automatically and reliably produce discrete and specific facial configurations for certain emotions (Barrett, Adolphs, Martnez & Pollack, 2019). Rather, children appear to learn to produce specific facial movements to express discrete emotions (e.g., anger v. sadness v. fear) as a means of communicating their feelings. The earliest trajectory of this process likely begins with parent-infant mimicry. For example, parents who make more infant-directed facial movements have infants who engage in more mimicry (Markova & Legerstee, 2006). Indeed, parental behavior (e.g., joint attention and conversations) and children's emotion expression are strongly linked in early childhood (Chaplin et al., 2005; Halberstadt, 1986; Malatesta & Haviland, 1982; Morris et al., 2011). Overall, it appears that parents help teach their children when and how to express emotions, as well as which emotions are contextually appropriate to express.

**Expression and adversity.** Virtually no studies investigate the links between early adversity exposure and emotion expression. One study by Camras et al. (1990) observed 3-7-year-old maltreated and non-maltreated children and their mothers during a laboratory play session and multiple home visits. Across the observations, children's facial and non-facial (e.g., physical aggression, physical affection) behaviors were coded to determine rates of emotion expression. Overall, maltreated and non-maltreated children did not differ in their observed expression of emotion. Mothers in the two groups also did not differ in emotion expression. Conversely, studies utilizing other expression modalities have found differences between children exposed to adversity and those without exposure (DeJonghe et al. 2005; Stivanin et al., 2015). For example, compared to non-exposed infants, infants exposed to domestic violence express more facial configurations associated with distress during situations of adult verbal conflict (DeJonghe et al. 2005).

Taken together, the few findings on emotion expression suggest that differences between adversity-exposed and non-exposed children may be context-dependent to an extent, such that differences may emerge in high-stress or threatening contexts, but not under more normative conditions. Given the very limited findings in this domain, much remains unknown about how adversity exposure may relate to children's expressions of emotions, for example if and which types of adversity impact emotional expression.

### **Emotion Perception**

Equally important to being able to produce emotional expressions is the ability to reasonably infer the meaning of facial, vocal, and bodily cues of emotion expressed by others. Here we refer to this ability as *emotion perception* (Pollak & Sinha, 2002; this is often called emotion recognition, but that term infers no role of the perceiver in the process and there are multiple sources of top-down control involved in understanding the meaning of facial muscle movements; Barrett et al. 2019; Lindquist & Gendron, 2013; Hassin, Aviezer & Benton, 2013). Infants show preferential attention to expressive faces (Hoehl et al., 2008) and may be able to differentiate facial movements on the basis of valence (see Shablack & Lindquist, 2019; although see Ruba et al., 2020). Over age 2-7, children become increasingly adept in their ability to infer the meaning of others' emotional expressions (Gao & Maurer, 2009; Herba, Landau, Russell, Ecker, & Phillips, 2006; Montiroso, Peverelli, Frigerio, Crespi, & Borgatti, 2010; Shablack & Lindquist, 2019; Tonks, Williams, Frampton, Yates, & Slater, 2007; Widen & Russell, 2008). Moreover, emotion perception becomes more refined throughout adolescence, with research indicating that the neural substrates involved in the processing of emotional cues are not adult-like until early adolescence (Batty & Taylor, 2006).

Parents' own beliefs about the importance of emotion socialization, their acknowledgement and instruction regarding children's emotions, and their own emotion perception predict children's subsequent emotion perception (Castro et al., 2015). For example, parents' belief in the importance of guiding children's emotional development is associated with 4- to 10-year-old children's superior emotion perception (Cole et al., 2009; Dunsmore et al., 2009; Dunsmore & Karn, 2001). Similarly, parents who verbally label emotions for their children and are better at inferring the emotional state of others have children who show better emotion perception at an earlier age (Castro et al., 2015). Together, these findings suggest that caregiver socialization is important in children's development of the emotion categories that guide perception of emotions on others' faces.

**Perception and adversity.** Emotion perception is perhaps the most widely studied emotion domain with regards to children exposed to early adversity, although findings vary and are generally not consistent (Table 2). Much of the research on emotion perception has specifically focused on children exposed to maltreatment. Studies that have combined maltreatment subtypes into one broad maltreatment group generally find mixed results, as do findings in other forms of adversity, such as poverty and interparental conflict (Table 2). However, there is increasing evidence for distinct differences in emotion perception based on type of adversity exposure, including from large and representative samples (Dunn et al., 2018). These studies show that children of abusive parents show preferential expertise with the category of anger (Pollak et al., 2000; 2009), perhaps because they have learned through experience that adult's aggressive facial behaviors are predictive of threat. In contrast, lack of caregiver input in situations involving neglect are associated with children's failure to differentiate normally

amongst discrete emotions in facial expressions, potentially due to a lack of exposure to a wide range of learning experiences about emotions (Pollak et al., 2000).

Importantly, many experimental tasks utilized in emotion perception studies are entangled in a basic emotion perspective (for review, Ruba & Pollak, 2020). They implicitly assume that discrete emotion categories can be reliably communicated by specific facial-muscle movements. However, empirical findings largely do not support this perspective and instead suggest that how individuals express emotions varies considerably across contexts, cultures, and even across individuals within a single event (for review, Barret et al., 2019). As such, researchers in the field of early adversity need to move beyond assessing children's "recognition" of static images of posed, highly caricatured facial configurations. Indeed, Ruba & Pollak (2020) advocate that researchers turn away from the gold standard, readily-available stimuli sets of posed facial images and instead examine "how children learn to understand and use the variable emotion signals they encounter in their everyday environments or how children learn to understand meaningful gradations in the intensity of emotions" (Ruba & Pollak, pp. 520). These more naturalistic approaches (Castro, Camras, Halberstadt, & Shuster, 2018; Sears, Repetti, Reynolds, & Sperling, 2014; Shuster, Camras, Grabell, & Perlman, 2018) may provide clearer insights into the full range of variability in human emotion and how adversity relates to the perception of emotions in others.

### **Emotion Knowledge**

*Emotion knowledge* consists of the set of information that a person knows about individual emotion categories and beliefs about how emotions work (Izard et al., 2001; Trentacosta & Fine, 2010; Southam-Gerow & Kendall, 2002). Emotion knowledge develops across childhood, continues into adolescence, and it is likely that even adults continue to update

and refine their emotion knowledge across the lifespan (see MacCormack et al. 2020). For example, with age children come to understand in a more nuanced manner the causes of specific emotions, that internal emotional experience and outward expression do not necessarily correspond with one another, and that emotional reactions can be changed and controlled (Bennett & Galpert, 1992; Campos et al., 1989; Nook et al., 2020; Saarni, 1979). Even children's understanding of the meaning of emotion categories such as "anger," "joy" and "sadness" increase linearly through age 11 (Nook et al., 2020). Children's understanding of emotion categories also becomes more abstract with age. Whereas young children understand emotion categories in terms of the situations and physiological sensations associated with that category, older children and adolescents have increasingly abstract representations that involve the causes and characteristics of emotion categories (Nook et al., 2020).

As with emotion perception, joint discussions between children and parents promote emotion knowledge by helping children to learn the features that define each category (e.g., the causes and consequences of emotion; Bretherton, Fritz, & Zahn-Waxler, 1986; Denham, 1986; Dunn, Bretherton, & Munn, 1987). Moreover, parents who discuss emotions more with their children and are more supportive of their children's emotional expressions have children with better emotion knowledge (Denham & Kochanoff, 2002; Halberstadt & Eaton, 2002; Perez Rivera & Dunsmore, 2011).

**Emotion knowledge and adversity.** Although the extant literature is sparse, most studies suggest that adversity exposure is linked with reductions in emotion knowledge (Fries & Pollak, 2004; Pears & Fisher, 2005; Perlman, Kalish, & Pollak, 2008; Sullivan et al., 2008; Winer & Thompson, 2013; although see Tarullo, Bruce, & Gunnar, 2007 for an exception). For example, in young children, maltreatment is associated with less specific emotion knowledge,

even when accounting for age, intelligence, and executive function (Pears & Fisher, 2005). Specifically, when shown puppets acting out various emotional situations, the maltreated children were more likely to incorrectly infer the emotion being experienced by the main character. Similarly, children exposed to maltreatment relative to non-maltreated peers, interpreted positive, equivocal, and negative events as being equally plausible causes of facial expressions consistent with sadness and anger (Perlman et al., 2008).

These differences in the content of emotion knowledge could stem from the increased presence of negative learning experiences (e.g., anger or rage in a parent can follow an apparently equivocal event so children do not understand the normative causes of anger) or the absence of learning experiences (e.g., a lack of emotion socialization and scaffolding around emotion knowledge). Consistent with the latter possibility, a study by Sullivan and colleagues (2010) suggests that exposure to neglect may be more predictive of a paucity of emotion knowledge than exposure to abuse; moreover abuse may not predict emotion knowledge after accounting for neglect. More studies are needed to examine the role of adversity type on children's emotion knowledge. In addition, currently all studies of early adversity exposure and emotion knowledge are limited to young children (i.e., 8 years of age or younger), therefore little is known about how adversity relates to emotion knowledge later in development or whether the relations vary across time.

### **Emotion Reactivity**

*Emotion reactivity* refers to the experience of emotions (a) in response to a wide array of stimuli (i.e., emotion sensitivity), (b) strongly or intensely (i.e., emotion intensity), and (c) for a prolonged period of time before returning to baseline level of arousal (i.e., emotion persistence; Nock, Wedig, Holmberg, & Hooley, 2008). Emotion reactivity is an often overlooked aspect of

children's emotional functioning (see Denham et al., 2003; Saarni, 1999) and is often confounded with regulation in studies of emotion (Zelkowitz & Cole, 2010). However, it is possible to separate behaviors indicating the experience of emotions from behaviors intended to obtain soothing even in infancy (Ursache et al. 2013). Moreover, initial developmental work conceptualized emotional reactivity as temperamental (Kagan & Snidman, 1991) and therefore not as strongly impacted by environmental inputs as the other domains of emotional functioning. However, evidence from epigenetic studies and preclinical studies in animals indicate that early life impacts emotional reactivity (Davis et al., 2011; Gunnar, et al., 2015). Additionally in children, emotion reactivity is associated with both early environment and caregiver socialization (Busso et al., 2016; McLaughlin, Sheridan, Alves, et al., 2014). In fact, much of what we think we know about emotion (dys)regulation in adversity-exposed children may be evidence of alterations in reactivity (see Lavi, Katz, Ozer & Gross, 2019 for recent meta-analytic findings on this subject).

Emotion reactivity is present at birth (Gunnar & Quevedo, 2007) and varies due to parenting behaviors over the course of early childhood (Atzil et al. 2018; Davis & Granger, 2009; Laurent et al., 2012; Rosenblum et al., 2002). Findings suggest that emotion reactivity may be relatively stable once children enter the pre-teen years through late adolescence (Silvers et al., 2012). However, there is also some indication the early adolescence is a period of heightened emotion reactivity, potentially due to hormonal changes occurring with puberty (Dahl & Gunnar, 2009).

**Reactivity and adversity.** Findings suggest that adversity-exposed children have stronger emotions and are prone to more intense reactions than their non-adversity exposed counterparts (Cooley-Quille et al., 2001; Haskett et al., 2012; Lavi et al., 2019; Lind et al., 2014;



Maschi et al., 2008; Shackman & Pollak, 2014). For example, in a study comparing physically abused and non-abused boys, Shackman and Pollak (2014) found that in response to a frustration task, abused children experienced more negative affect relative to non-abused children both during the task and during recovery. Thus, the abused children demonstrated more emotion intensity (experiencing strong, intense emotions) and persistence (experiencing prolonged reactivity before returning to baseline level of arousal). Similarly, exposure to violence has been linked to greater self-reports of anger and negative affect in response to minimal provocations (Maschi et al., 2008). Finally, neuroimaging studies confirm that children exposed to adversity demonstrate greater neural activation in response to negative affectively evocative stimuli in brain regions associated with autonomic reactivity and unpleasant affect (e.g., amygdala; Hein & Monk, 2017; McCrory et al., 2013; McLaughlin, Peverill, et al., 2015). Together, these results indicate that exposure to adversity is associated with greater emotion reactivity. However, as with other emotional domains, many studies have examined one form of adversity in isolation without accounting for the effects of other forms of adversity. As such, more work is needed comparing across adversity types to better understand how (and which) adversity exposure is linked to differences in emotion reactivity.

### **Emotion Regulation**

The construct of emotion regulation has been used to include a vast array of biological, social, behavioral, and cognitive processes (Garnefski, Kraaij, & Spinhoven, 2001). We adhere to Thompson's classical definition of *emotion regulation* as the "internal and external processes involved in initiating, maintaining, and modulating the occurrence, intensity, and expression of emotions" (1994, p. 27). Emotion regulation has perhaps the slowest developmental trajectory of any emotional functioning domain, with advances continuing well into adolescence (Calkins &

Bell, 1999; Silvers et al., 2012; Zeman & Shipman, 1997). Early in life, caregivers help regulate infants' affective states via physical contact, the sound of their voice, and other interpersonal strategies (Atzil et al. 2018). Infants themselves demonstrate some basic regulation processes during the first years of life, largely in the form of extrinsic behavioral strategies aimed at reducing negative sensations and increasing positive feelings (Zeman et al., 2006). Throughout early childhood, caregivers continue providing social support and begin to teach children explicit strategies for emotion regulation such as cognitive control strategies or situation selection and modification (Dunsmore et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2007). As children age, they tend to rely less on their caregivers to initiate regulation and instead increasingly self-regulate via more sophisticated strategies, such as cognitive reappraisal (Eisenberg & Morris, 2002; McRae et al., 2012).

Parenting behaviors are also related to implicit forms of emotion regulation (Chen, McCormick, Ravindran, McElwain, & Telzer, 2020; Gee et al., 2013; Kopala-Sibley et al., 2018), which are typically conceived of behaviorally as a child's ability to inhibit attention to irrelevant emotional stimuli or neurally as greater connectivity between the amygdala and prefrontal regions such as the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Gyurak, Gross, & Etkin, 2011), which may reflect greater contextualization of affective states. Of note, these forms of implicit emotion regulation, unlike explicit forms of emotion regulation, are not thought to involve intentional, motivated efforts to regulate one's emotions. They are thus not measurable through self-report and when assessed through most observational or other-report means, are confounded with reactivity. Methods that measure physiology or neuroimaging can target specific physiological markers or brain activation associated with regulatory processes, but these are

infrequently used in the literature. We suggest that the best practice when reviewing other-report data is to consider such findings as a combination of regulation and reactivity.

**Regulation and adversity.** The majority of studies on children's regulation in adversity-exposed samples use the parent-report Emotion Regulation Checklist (ERC; Shields & Cicchetti, 1997) and are incredibly consistent: regardless of age or gender, adversity exposure predicts poorer emotion regulation (Chang et al., 2003; Ellis et al., 2014; Kim & Cicchetti, 2010; Hébert et al., 2018; Kim-Spoon et al., 2013). However, this measure suffers from the same challenges as all other-report measures of children's emotional functioning, in that it assesses behavior as reported by an observer and cannot account for children's perceptions or get "under the skin" to determine whether emotional function is a product of reactivity or regulation (or both) or narrow in on which emotion regulation strategies children might be using if they are using any (Table 3).

To address some of these constraints, researchers have turned to self-report measures—asking children to directly report on their use of explicit, controlled regulation strategies. Findings from these studies indicate that adversity-exposed children tend to use less adaptive regulation strategies, such as disengagement, expressive suppression, and rumination more frequently, and use effective strategies, including cognitive reappraisal, less often than non-exposed children (Amone-P'Olak, Garnefski, & Kraaij, 2007; Boyes, Hasking, & Martin, 2016; Epstein-Ngo, Maurizi, Bregman, & Ceballo, 2013; Gruhn & Compas, 2020; Maughan & Cicchetti, 2002; Milojevich et al., 2018; Robinson et al., 2009; Shields, Cicchetti, & Ryan, 1994). Interestingly, at least one study suggests that adversity-exposed children are capable of utilizing adaptive regulation strategies, such as cognitive reappraisal, in a manner similar to non-exposed children if directly instructed to do so (McLaughlin, Peverill, et al., 2015). Thus, adversity exposure may not affect children's general ability to use regulation strategies, but may

limit children's regulation strategy repertoires (Lougheed & Hollenstein, 2012), leading them to rely more heavily on disengagement, avoidance, rumination, and suppression (Epstein-Ngo et al., 2013; Gruhn & Compas, 2020; Maughan & Cicchetti, 2002; Milojevich, Levine, et al., 2018; Robinson et al., 2009; Shields et al., 1994). Chronic use of such strategies are consistently linked to poorer mental and physical health in children and adults (Aldao et al., 2010, 2016; Cisler et al., 2010; Compas et al., 2017; Gross & Jazaieri, 2014; Schäfer et al., 2017; Silk et al., 2003), although they may be adaptive in the moment in an adverse environment.

To date, most studies on early adversity exposure and emotion regulation examine children exposed to maltreatment, with less research considering other types of adversity or comparing across exposure types. However, Milojevich and colleagues (2019) recently examined regulation strategies as potential mediators linking exposure to abuse and neglect in early life to symptoms of psychopathology in adolescence. Findings indicated that more exposure to physical abuse, but not neglect, predicted greater use of avoidant regulation strategies in adolescence. In contrast, neglect was unrelated to adolescent regulation strategy use after controlling for exposure to threat. These findings suggest that exposure to intense affective contexts might set the stage for long-term challenges in adaptive emotion regulation.

Studies also indicate that adversity exposure is associated with differences in implicit forms of emotion regulation (Lambert, King, Monahan, & McLaughlin, 2017; Machlin et al., 2019; Marusak et al., 2015; McLaughlin et al., 2016; Sheridan et al., 2019; Tottenham et al., 2010). For example, exposure to violence has been selectively linked to automatic emotion regulation deficits, specifically difficulty adaptively inhibiting attention to irrelevant emotional information, in adolescents (Lambert et al., 2017). Finally, adversity-exposed children exhibit more adult-like ventromedial prefrontal cortex-amygdala connectivity at earlier ages (Gee et al.,

2013). Collectively, these findings indicate that adversity-exposed children, perhaps particularly children exposed to threat, have difficulty regulating responses to evocative stimuli, which may put them at risk for subsequent behavioral problems and psychopathology.

### **Mechanisms of Adversity Exposure and Emotional Functioning Associations**

The available evidence consistently points to deficits or changes in emotional functioning as a result of exposure to early adversity. A remaining question is why? Understanding the mechanisms by which early adversity leads to differences in emotional functioning has important implications for prevention and intervention efforts. To date, the study of early life stress and adversity has often operated (explicitly or at times implicitly) from a socialization or social learning perspective (for review, Lavi et al., 2019), attributing the deficits in emotional functioning in adversity-exposed children specifically to the ways in which parents in adverse contexts socialize their children around emotions.

In families characterized by adversity, caregivers may model responses to emotions that are non-normative and may also change the nature and degree of explicit emotion socialization that is provided to children. Both should have important implications for children's emotional functioning. For example, children exposed to maltreatment tend to have parents who display ineffective regulation strategies (Criss et al., 2016), produce less prototypical expressions of emotions (Camras et al., 1988), report greater expression of negative emotions (Raver & Spagnola, 2002), and have difficulties inferring the emotions of others (Balge & Milner, 2000). More broadly, in high adversity contexts, parents often fail to teach their children effective ways to reduce distress and negative feelings, and instead are more likely to invalidate their children's feelings or neglect them in emotional situations (Shipman et al., 2007).

In these approaches, it is assumed that the effect of adversity exposure on children's emotional functioning is fully mediated by parental socialization. Yet it is likely, albeit relatively understudied, that features of adversity itself have a direct effect on emotional functioning. For example, a child growing up with significant exposure to community violence experiences a high incidence of threat that may shape their emotional development through basic learning mechanisms (e.g., McLaughlin, Sheridan, Nelson, 2017), separate from their parental socialization. These exposures could be conceptualized as salient learning experiences that cause children to develop emotional repertoires that are relatively adaptive in a threatening context (e.g., earlier development of fear learning; Machlin et al. 2019) but that produce maladaptive emotional responses in a non-threatening context (e.g., generalization of fear learning to non-threatening affective stimuli).

Beyond assessing parental socialization, DMAP is a useful framework for generating hypotheses about the differential effect of early adversity type on specific domains of emotional functioning. For instance, it is possible that exposure to threat impacts emotion reactivity and automatic emotion regulation, whereas exposure to deprivation impacts emotion knowledge and explicit emotion regulation. It is also possible that these dimensions interact, such that the presence of both results in particular emotional phenotypes. For instance, children with high emotional reactivity are capable of high regulation if they experience positive parenting behavior (Ursache et al. 2014), suggesting that children who experience threat *and* deprivation might be particularly at risk for developing emotional profiles characterized by high reactivity and poor emotion understanding and regulation. Dimensional models, such as DMAP, when considered in combination with the early socialization environment can promise for identifying specific mechanistic hypotheses for future research.

## Implications and Recommendations

Although much research has documented the impact of adversity exposure on emotional outcomes, this research to date has been largely atheoretical. Herein we conceptualize emotional experiences as constructed over the course of early development, as the product of basic affective reactions and learned situated meaning (Hoemann et al. 2020; Lindquist et al. 2015; Shablack & Lindquist, 2019). We also suggest that adverse experiences in childhood might be best understood as a product of the dimensions of threat and deprivation exposure (Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; 2016). Very little research has explicitly applied these models to understanding the development of emotional functioning in the face of adversity and we look forward to several important next steps in research on this topic.

*Future research should refine constructs of emotional functioning.* Our first recommendation for future research is that it refine and validate its operationalizations of emotional functioning. Although previous research has uncovered deficits in emotional functioning for children exposed to early adversity, the specificity of this association is under-described in part because there has been a general lack of precision in measurement and construct definitions that have obscured the exact nature of these deficits. In turn, this has limited progress in identifying differential associations based on adversity type or precisely measuring the role of each emotional domain on children's long-term functioning and well-being. The use of emotional functioning, a multifaceted construct consisting of emotion expression, perception, knowledge, reactivity, and regulation, can help address these ambiguities and lead to more mechanistic understandings of the impact of adversity on emotion.

*Dimensional models yield specific hypotheses.* Dimensional models like DMAP may be combined with the construct of emotional functioning to create a fruitful framework for testing

the differential associations between types of adversity exposure and children's emotional functioning. Specifically, we hypothesize that exposure to threat (e.g., physical abuse) is associated specifically with emotion reactivity and regulation. Conceptually, iterative exposure to threatening experiences during development will facilitate the neural, behavioral, and physiological responses to subsequent threats. We would expect this to result in a system which is biased towards rapidly detecting threats, that mounts a robust response to perceived threats, and dampens this response gradually. Consistent with this hypothesis, threat exposure, but not other forms of adversity, has been linked to heightened emotion reactivity (Busso et al., 2016; Machlin et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2014) as well as deficits in both automatic and explicit emotion regulation (Lambert et al., 2017; McLaughlin et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2018; Milojevich et al., 2019; Sheridan et al., 2017, 2019). Exposure to threat is also predictive of a bias and sensitivity toward expressions of anger (During & McMahon, 1991; Pollak et al., 2000; Pollak et al., 2009; Pollak & Kistler, 2002; Pollak & Sinha, 2002). In addition, findings from DeJonghe and colleagues (2005) suggest that threat may be predictive of children's emotion expression, such that children exposed to violence express higher levels of negative affect and distress during frightening or stressful events. In sum, evidence thus far is consistent with the hypothesis that there is a specific relationship between threatening forms of adversity exposure and several domains of emotional functioning (expression, perception, reactivity, and regulation).

Because much of the work on threat exposure is completed in families where children are exposed to maltreatment, future work is needed to disambiguate threat exposure from parental modeling and other forms of emotion socialization which are known to co-occur with maltreatment. Studies which focus on only maltreated children, for example, have shown that



with a group equated for threat exposure, parental modeling was predictive of emotion regulation in children (Milojevich & Haskett, 2018), pointing to the potential importance of emotion socialization in this link. Almost no studies have examined the relative contributions of parent socialization, deprivation, and threat in the same samples. The one study to our knowledge that did test relative contributions found that threat and parental socialization (in the form of parents' own emotion regulation difficulties) were associated with poorer explicit emotion regulation in children. However, once parental socialization, threat, and deprivation were introduced simultaneously into models, results indicated that parental socialization, but not deprivation or threat, continued to predict children's explicit emotion regulation abilities (Milojevich, Machlin, & Sheridan, 2020). These results suggest that parental socialization of emotion is a robust predictor of emotion regulation in children exposed to early adversity. Whether these same associations hold in other emotional functioning domains or when including other forms of parent socialization (e.g., modeling, parent-child conversations) remains to be investigated. Finally, future work could examine the association between community violence and emotional functioning. Within a dimensional model, direct exposure to community violence in childhood constitutes a threat exposure and would be linked with similar deficits to those already described. However, community violence exposure is much less likely to be linked with deficits in parental emotion socialization and may thus be a useful case for examining the dissociation of threat and deprivation.

In contrast to threat, deprivation is conceptualized within DMAP as a lack of normative learning opportunities. Studies directly testing DMAP have largely focused on the impact of this reduction in scaffolded learning on cognitive outcomes (McLaughlin, Sheridan, & Nelson, 2017; Miller et al., 2018; Miller, Machlin, McLaughlin, & Sheridan, 2020; Sheridan, Peverill et al.,

2017; Sheridan, Shi et al., 2019). However, prior evidence has linked deprivation in the form of neglect to more global deficits in emotion perception (Pollak et al., 2000), perhaps because children exposed to deprivation lack input from caregivers that scaffolds their understanding of the meaning of emotional facial behaviors. Additionally, a study by Sullivan and colleagues (2010) found that exposure to deprivation in the form of neglect may be more predictive of emotion knowledge than exposure to threat (e.g., abuse), perhaps because of impoverished opportunities for learning about emotions from caregivers. It is likely that exposure to deprivation will be selectively associated with deficits in emotion knowledge and utilization of cognitively complex emotion regulation techniques such as reappraisal because these aspects of emotion function are strongly linked with complex cognitive function (Ochsner et al. 2004; Silvers et al. 2012), known to be selectively impacted by deprivation. In addition, it is likely that deprivation will shape aspects of emotional functioning that require explicit and implicit input from caregivers, such as culturally normative perception and expression of emotions. However, we would expect these deficits to be relatively mild and similar across emotion categories since the limited learning experiences would not be specific to certain types of emotions (e.g., those most likely experienced in the presence of threat).

### **Concluding Comments**

Children exposed to adversity are at increased risk for a whole host of negative outcomes, including high rates of psychopathology and poor health (Busso et al., 2016, Flaherty et al., 2006; Humphreys & Zeanah, 2015; Kim & Cicchetti, 2010; Lambert et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2018; Sheridan et al., 2017). Disruptions in emotional functioning, a multifaceted construct consisting of emotion expression, perception, knowledge, reactivity, and regulation, may be one pathway through which early adversity comes to have this impact. Measuring all five domains

of emotional functioning consistently and precisely may help pinpoint which domains are most strongly associated with certain dimension of adversity and with specific outcomes of interest. By measuring the domains in concert, we can begin to tease apart differential associations and more carefully tailor interventions to address the exact nature of children's emotional difficulties. While current research has coupled adversity exposure with poor emotional functioning, we propose a theory-driven approach that links specific dimensions of adversity to specific domains of emotional functioning resulting in a more mechanistic understanding of how adversity impacts future health and yielding novel and clearer targets for research and intervention.

## References

- Aldao, A., Gee, D. G., Reyes, A. D. L., & Seager, I. (2016). Emotion regulation as a transdiagnostic factor in the development of internalizing and externalizing psychopathology: Current and future directions. *Development and Psychopathology, 28*, 927–946. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416000638>
- Aldao, A., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Schweizer, S. (2010). Emotion-regulation strategies across psychopathology: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30*(2), 217–237.
- Ardizzi, M., Martini, F., Umiltà, M. A., Evangelista, V., Ravera, R., & Gallese, V. (2015). Impact of childhood maltreatment on the recognition of facial expressions of emotions. *PLOS ONE, 10*(10), e0141732. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141732>
- Atzil, S., Gao, W., Fradkin, I., & Barrett, L. F. (2018). Growing a social brain. *Nature Human Behaviour, 2*(9), 624–636. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0384-6>
- Aznar, A., & Tenenbaum, H. R. (2013). Spanish parents' emotion talk and their children's understanding of emotion. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 670. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00670>
- Balge, K. A., & Milner, J. S. (2000). Emotion recognition ability in mothers at high and low risk for child physical abuse. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 24*(10), 1289–1298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(00\)00188-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(00)00188-5)
- Barrett, L. F. (2020). Hypotheses about emotional development in the theory of constructed emotion: A response to developmental perspectives on How Emotions Are Made. *Human Development. https://doi.org/10.1159/000508988*.
- Barrett, L. F., Adolphs, R., Marsella, S., Martinez, A. M., & Pollak, S. D. (2019). Emotional expressions reconsidered: Challenges to inferring emotion from human facial

movements. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 20, 1–68.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100619832930>

Barrett, L. F., & Bliss-Moreau, E. (2009). Affect as a psychological primitive. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 41, pp. 167–218). Elsevier.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)00404-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)00404-8)

Barrett, L. F., Bliss-Moreau, Duncan, S. L., Rauch, S. L., & Wright, C. I. (2007). The amygdala and the experience of affect. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 2, 73–83,

<https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsl042>

Barrett, L. F., & Lindquist, K. A. (2008). The embodiment of emotion. In: Semin, G., & Smith, E. (Eds.) *Embodied Grounding: Social, Cognitive, Affective, and Neuroscience Approaches*. Cambridge University Press.

Batty, M., & Taylor, M. J. (2006). The development of emotional face processing during childhood. *Developmental Science*, 9(2), 207–220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2006.00480.x>

Bennett, M., & Galpert, L. (1992). Developmental changes in understanding the influence of emotion upon cognitive performance and motivation. *Motivation and Emotion*, 16(2), 103–115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00995514>

Boden, M. T., Thompson, R. J., Dizén, M., Berenbaum, H., & Baker, J. P. (2013). Are emotional clarity and emotion differentiation related?. *Cognition & Emotion*, 27(6), 961-978.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2012.751899>

Bohnert, A. M., Crnic, K. A., & Lim, K. G. (2003). Emotional competence and aggressive behavior in school-age children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 31(1), 79-91.

<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021725400321>

- Bonanno, G. A., & Burton, C. L. (2013). Regulatory flexibility: An individual differences perspective on coping and emotion regulation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(6), 591–612. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613504116>
- Boyes, M. E., Hasking, P. A., & Martin, G. (2016). Adverse life experience and psychological distress in adolescence: Moderating and mediating effects of emotion regulation and rumination: Life experience, emotion regulation, rumination and psychological distress. *Stress and Health*, 32(4), 402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2635>
- Bretherton, I., Fritz, J., Zahn-Waxler, C., & Ridgeway, D. (1986). Learning to talk about emotions: A functionalist perspective. *Child Development*, 57, 529-548. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1130334>
- Busso, D. S., McLaughlin, K. A., & Sheridan, M. A. (2016). Dimensions of adversity, physiological reactivity, and externalizing psychopathology in adolescence: Deprivation and threat. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 79, 162-171. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000369>
- Calkins, S. D., & Bell, K. L. (1999). Developmental transitions as windows to parental socialization of emotion. *Psychological Inquiry*, 10(4), 368-372.
- Campos, J. J., Campos, R. G., & Barrett, K. C. (1989). Emergent themes in the study of emotional development and emotion regulation. *Developmental Psychology*, 25(3), 394–402. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.25.3.394>
- Camras, L. A., Grow, J. G., & Ribordy, S. C. (1983). Recognition of emotional expression by abused children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 12(3), 325–328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374418309533152>

- Camras, L. A., Ribordy, S., Hill, J., Martino, S., Sachs, V., Spaccarelli, S., & Stefani, R. (1990). Maternal facial behavior and the recognition and production of emotional expression by maltreated and nonmaltreated children. *Developmental Psychology, 26*(2), 304–312. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.26.2.304>
- Camras, L. A., Ribordy, S., Hill, J., Martino, S., Spaccarelli, S., & Stefani, R. (1988). Recognition and posing of emotional expressions by abused children and their mothers. *Developmental Psychology, 24*(6), 776–781. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.24.6.776>
- Castro, V. L., Halberstadt, A. G., Lozada, F. T., & Craig, A. B. (2015). Parents' emotion-related beliefs, behaviours, and skills predict children's recognition of emotion: Parents' emotion beliefs behaviours. *Infant and Child Development, 24*(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.1868>
- Chaplin, T. M., Cole, P. M., & Zahn-Waxler, C. (2005). Parental socialization of emotion expression: Gender differences and relations to child adjustment. *Emotion, 5*(1), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.5.1.80>
- Chen, X., McCormick, E. M., Ravindran, N., McElwain, N. L., & Telzer, E. H. (2020). Maternal emotion socialization in early childhood predicts adolescents' amygdala-vmPFC functional connectivity to emotion faces. *Developmental Psychology, 56*(3), 503-515. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000852>
- Cicchetti, D. (2013). Annual research review: Resilient functioning in maltreated children—past, present, and future perspectives. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, 54*(4), 402-422.

- Cisler, J. M., Olatunji, B. O., Feldner, M. T., & Forsyth, J. P. (2010). Emotion regulation and the anxiety disorders: An integrative review. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 32(1), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-009-9161-1>
- Clore, G. L., & Ortony, A. (2008). Appraisal theories: How cognition shapes affect into emotion. In *Handbook of emotions*, 3rd ed. (pp. 628–642). The Guilford Press.
- Clore, G. L., & Ortony, A. (2013). Psychological construction in the OCC model of emotion. *Emotion Review*, 5(4), 335–343. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073913489751>
- Cole, P. M., Dennis, T. A., Smith-Simon, K. E., & Cohen, L. H. (2009). Preschoolers' emotion regulation strategy understanding: Relations with emotion socialization and child self-regulation. *Social Development*, 18(2), 324–352. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2008.00503.x>
- Cole, P. M., Martin, S. E., & Dennis, T. A. (2004). Emotion regulation as a scientific construct: Methodological challenges and directions for child development research. *Child Development*, 75(2), 317–333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00673.x>
- Compas, B. E., Jaser, S. S., Bettis, A. H., Watson, K. H., Gruhn, M. A., Dunbar, J. P., Williams, E., & Thigpen, J. C. (2017). Coping, emotion regulation, and psychopathology in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analysis and narrative review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(9), 939–991. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000110>
- Cooley-Quille, M., Boyd, R. C., Frantz, E., & Walsh, J. (2001). Emotional and behavioral impact of exposure to community violence in inner-city adolescents. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 30(2), 199–206. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424JCCP3002\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424JCCP3002_7)



- Criss, M. M., Morris, A. S., Ponce-Garcia, E., Cui, L., & Silk, J. S. (2016). Pathways to adaptive emotion regulation among adolescents from low-income families. *Family Relations*, 65(3), 517–529. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12202>
- Cunningham, W. A., Dunfield, K. A., & Stillman, P. E. (2013). Emotional states from affective dynamics. *Emotion Review*, 5(4), 344–355. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073913489749>
- Curby, T. W., Brown, C. A., Bassett, H. H., & Denham, S. A. (2015). Associations between preschoolers' social-emotional competence and preliteracy skills: Social-emotional competence and preliteracy. *Infant and Child Development*, 24(5), 549–570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/icd.1899>
- Dahl, R. E., & Gunnar, M. R. (2009). Heightened stress responsiveness and emotional reactivity during pubertal maturation: Implications for psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21(1), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579409000017>
- Davis, E. P., Glynn, L. M., Waffarn, F., & Sandman, C. A. (2011). Prenatal maternal stress programs infant stress regulation: Prenatal cortisol and infant development. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(2), 119–129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02314.x>
- Davis, E. P., & Granger, D. A. (2009). Developmental differences in infant salivary alpha-amylase and cortisol responses to stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34(6), 795–804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.02.001>
- DeJonghe, E. S., Bogat, G. A., Levendosky, A. A., Von Eye, A., & Davidson, W. S. (2005). Infant exposure to domestic violence predicts heightened sensitivity to adult verbal conflict. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 26(3), 268-281. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.20048>

- Denham, S. A. (1986). Social cognition, prosocial behavior, and emotion in preschoolers: Contextual validation. *Child Development, 57*, 194-201. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1130651>
- Denham, S. A. (1998). *Emotional development in young children*. Guilford Press.
- Denham, S. A., Blair, K. A., DeMulder, E., Levitas, J., Sawyer, K., Auerbach-Major, S., & Queenan, P. (2003). Preschool emotional competence: Pathway to social competence? *Child Development, 74*(1), 238–256. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00533>
- Denham, S., & Kochanoff, A. T. (2002). Parental contributions to preschoolers' understanding of emotion. *Marriage & Family Review, 34*(3–4), 311–343. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n03\\_06](https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n03_06)
- Domitrovich, C. E., Durlak, J. A., Staley, K. C., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Social-emotional competence: An essential factor for promoting positive adjustment and reducing risk in school children. *Child Development, 88*(2), 408–416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12739>
- Dunn, E. C., Crawford, K. M., Soare, T. W., Button, K. S., Raffeld, M. R., Smith, A. D. A. C., Penton-Voak, I. S., & Munafò, M. R. (2018). Exposure to childhood adversity and deficits in emotion recognition: Results from a large, population-based sample. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 59*(8), 845–854. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12881>
- Dunsmore, J. C., Booker, J. A., & Ollendick, T. H. (2013). Parental emotion coaching and child emotion regulation as protective factors for children with Oppositional Defiant Disorder. *Social Development, 22*(3), 444–466. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2011.00652.x>
- Dunsmore, J. C., Her, P., Halberstadt, A. G., & Perez-Rivera, M. B. (2009). Parents' beliefs about emotions and children's recognition of parents' emotions. *Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 33*(2), 121–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10919-008-0066-6>

- Dunsmore, J. C., & Karn, M. A. (2001). Mothers' beliefs about feelings and children's emotional understanding. *Early Education & Development, 12*(1), 117–138.  
[https://doi.org/10.1207/s15566935eed1201\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15566935eed1201_7)
- During, S. M., & McMahon, R. J. (1991). Recognition of emotional facial expressions by abusive mothers and their children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 20*(2), 132–139. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp2002\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp2002_4)
- Eisenberg, N., & Morris, A. S. (2002). Children's emotion-related regulation. In *Advances in child development and behavior, Vol. 30*. (pp. 189–229). Academic Press.
- Ekman, P., & Cordaro, D. (2011). What is meant by calling emotions basic. *Emotion Review, 3*(4), 364–370. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073911410740>
- Ekman, P., & Friesen, W. V. (1978). *The facial action coding system (FACS): A technique for the measurement of facial action*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Ekman, P., Friesen, W. V., O'sullivan, M., Chan, A., Diacoyanni-Tarlatzis, I., Heider, K., ... & Scherer, K. (1987). Universals and cultural differences in the judgments of facial expressions of emotion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 53*(4), 712–717.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.53.4.712>
- Ellis, B. H., Alisic, E., Reiss, A., Dishion, T., & Fisher, P. A. (2014). Emotion regulation among preschoolers on a continuum of risk: The role of maternal emotion coaching. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 23*(6), 965–974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-013-9752-z>
- Epstein-Ngo, Q., Maurizi, L. K., Bregman, A., & Ceballo, R. (2013). In response to community violence: Coping strategies and involuntary stress responses among Latino adolescents. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 19*(1), 38–49.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029753>

- Etkin, A. (2011). Emerging insights on implicit emotion regulation. *Neuropsychoanalysis, 13*(1), 42–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15294145.2011.10773658>
- Evans, G. W., Li, D., & Whipple, S. S. (2013). Cumulative risk and child development. *Psychological Bulletin, 139*(6), 1342–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031808>
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 14*(4), 245–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- Flaherty, E. G., Thompson, R., Litrownik, A. J., Theodore, A., English, D. J., Black, M. M., ... & Dubowitz, H. (2006). Effect of early childhood adversity on child health. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 160*(12), 1232-1238. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.160.12.1232>
- Fries, A. B. W., & Pollak, S. D. (2004). Emotion understanding in postinstitutionalized Eastern European children. *Development and Psychopathology, 16*(02), 355–369. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579404044554>
- Gabard-Durnam, L. J., Flannery, J., Goff, B., Gee, D. G., Humphreys, K. L., Telzer, E., ... & Tottenham, N. (2014). The development of human amygdala functional connectivity at rest from 4 to 23 years: A cross-sectional study. *Neuroimage, 95*, 193-207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.038>
- Gao, X., & Maurer, D. (2009). Influence of intensity on children's sensitivity to happy, sad, and fearful facial expressions. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 102*(4), 503–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2008.11.002>

- Garnefski, N., Kraaij, V., & Spinhoven, P. (2001). Negative life events, cognitive emotion regulation and emotional problems. *Personality and Individual Differences, 30*(8), 1311–1327. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(00\)00113-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00113-6)
- Gee, D. G., Gabard-Durnam, L. J., Flannery, J., Goff, B., Humphreys, K. L., Telzer, E. H., ... & Tottenham, N. (2013). Early developmental emergence of human amygdala–prefrontal connectivity after maternal deprivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110*(39), 15638-15643.
- Gendron, M., & Feldman Barrett, L. (2009). Reconstructing the past: A century of ideas about emotion in psychology. *Emotion Review, 1*(4), 316–339.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073909338877>
- Gentzler, A. L., Santucci, A. K., Kovacs, M., & Fox, N. A. (2009). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity predicts emotion regulation and depressive symptoms in at-risk and control children. *Biological Psychology, 82*(2), 156-163.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.07.002>
- Gross, J. J., & Jazaieri, H. (2014). Emotion, emotion regulation, and psychopathology: An affective science perspective. *Clinical Psychological Science, 2*(4), 387–401.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702614536164>
- Gruhn, M. A., & Compas, B. E. (2020). Effects of maltreatment on coping and emotion regulation in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analytic review. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 103*, 104446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104446>
- Gunnar, M. R., Hostinar, C. E., Sanchez, M. M., Tottenham, N., & Sullivan, R. M. (2015). Parental buffering of fear and stress neurobiology: Reviewing parallels across rodent,

monkey, and human models. *Social Neuroscience*, 10, 474–478.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2015.1070198>

Gunnar, M., & Quevedo, K. (2007). The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58(1), 145–173. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085605>

Gyurak, A., Gross, J. J., & Etkin, A. (2011). Explicit and implicit emotion regulation: A dual-process framework. *Cognition & Emotion*, 25(3), 400–412.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2010.544160>

Halberstadt, A. G. (1986). Family socialization of emotional expression and nonverbal communication styles and skills. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(4), 827–836. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.4.827>

Halberstadt, A. G., Denham, S. A., & Dunsmore, J. C. (2001). Affective social competence. *Social Development*, 10(1), 79–119. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9507.00150>

Halberstadt, A. G., & Eaton, K. L. (2002). A meta-analysis of family expressiveness and children's emotion expressiveness and understanding. *Marriage & Family Review*, 34(1–2), 35–62. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n01\\_03](https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n01_03)

Haskett, M. E., Stelter, R., Proffit, K., & Nice, R. (2012). Parent emotional expressiveness and children's self-regulation: Associations with abused children's school functioning. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 36(4), 296–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.11.008>

Hassin, R. R., Aviezer, H., & Bentin, S. (2013). Inherently ambiguous: Facial expressions of emotions, in context. *Emotion Review*, 5(1), 60–65.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073912451331>

- Hébert, M., Langevin, R., & Oussaïd, E. (2018). Cumulative childhood trauma, emotion regulation, dissociation, and behavior problems in school-aged sexual abuse victims. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *225*, 306–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.08.044>
- Hein, T. C., & Monk, C. S. (2017). Neural response to threat in children, adolescents, and adults after child maltreatment - A quantitative meta-analysis. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *58*(3), 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12651>
- Herba, C. M., Landau, S., Russell, T., Ecker, C., & Phillips, M. L. (2006). The development of emotion-processing in children: Effects of age, emotion, and intensity. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *47*(11), 1098–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01652.x>
- Hernández, M. M., Eisenberg, N., Valiente, C., VanSchyndel, S. K., Spinrad, T. L., Silva, K. M., ... & Southworth, J. (2016). Emotional expression in school context, social relationships, and academic adjustment in kindergarten. *Emotion*, *16*(4), 553–566. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000147>
- Hoehl, S., Palumbo, L., Heinisch, C., & Striano, T. (2008). Infants' attention is biased by emotional expressions and eye gaze direction. *Neuroreport*, *19*(5), 579–582. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0b013e3282f97897>
- Hoemann, K., Wu, R., LobBue, V., Oakes, L. M., Xu, F., & Barrett, L. F. (2020). Developing an understanding of emotion categories: Lessons from objects. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *24*, 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2019.10.010>
- Humphreys, K. L., & Zeanah, C. H. (2015). Deviations from the expectable environment in early childhood and emerging psychopathology. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *40*(1), 154–170. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.165>

- Izard, C.E. (1971). *The face of emotion*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Izard, C. E. (2011). Forms and functions of emotions: Matters of emotion–cognition interactions. *Emotion Review*, 3(4), 371–378. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073911410737>
- Izard, C., Fine, S., Schultz, D., Mostow, A., Ackerman, B., & Youngstrom, E. (2001). Emotion knowledge as a predictor of social behavior and academic competence in children at risk. *Psychological Science*, 12(1), 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00304>
- Izard, C. E., King, K. A., Trentacosta, C. J., Morgan, J. K., Laurenceau, J. P., Krauthamer-Ewing, E. S., & Finlon, K. J. (2008). Accelerating the development of emotion competence in Head Start children: Effects on adaptive and maladaptive behavior. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20(1), 369-397. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579408000175>
- Kagan, J., & Snidman, N. (1991). Temperamental factors in human development. *American Psychologist*, 46(8), 856-862.
- Katz, L. F., Hessler, D. M., & Annest, A. (2007). Domestic violence, emotional competence, and child adjustment. *Social Development*, 16, 513-538. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00401.x>
- Kerns, C. E., Comer, J. S., & Zeman, J. (2014). A preliminary psychometric evaluation of a parent-report measure of child emotional awareness and expression in a sample of anxious youth. *Cognitive therapy and research*, 38(3), 349-357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-014-9596-x>
- Kim, J., & Cicchetti, D. (2010). Longitudinal pathways linking child maltreatment, emotion regulation, peer relations, and psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 51(6), 706–716. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02202.x>



- Kim-Spoon, J., Cicchetti, D., & Rogosch, F. A. (2013). A longitudinal study of emotion regulation, emotion lability-negativity, and internalizing symptomatology in maltreated and nonmaltreated children. *Child Development, 84*(2), 512–527.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2012.01857.x>
- Koizumi, M., & Takagishi, H. (2014). The relationship between child maltreatment and emotion recognition. *PLoS ONE, 9*(1), e86093. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086093>
- Kopala-Sibley, D. C., Cyr, M., Finsaas, M. C., Orawe, J., Huang, A., Tottenham, N., & Klein, D. N. (2018). Early childhood parenting predicts late childhood brain functional connectivity during emotion perception and reward processing. *Child Development*. Advance online publication
- Lambert, H. K., King, K. M., Monahan, K. C., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2017). Differential associations of threat and deprivation with emotion regulation and cognitive control in adolescence. *Development and Psychopathology, 29*(3), 929–940.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579416000584>
- Laurent, H. K., Ablow, J. C., & Measelle, J. (2012). Taking stress response out of the box: Stability, discontinuity, and temperament effects on HPA and SNS across social stressors in mother–infant dyads. *Developmental Psychology, 48*(1), 35–45.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025518>
- Lawson, G. M., Camins, J. S., Wisse, L., Wu, J., Duda, J. T., Cook, P. A., Gee, J. C., & Farah, M. J. (2017). Childhood socioeconomic status and childhood maltreatment: Distinct associations with brain structure. *PLOS ONE, 12*(4), e0175690.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175690>

- Lavi, I., Katz, L. F., Ozer, E. J., & Gross, J. J. (2019). Emotion reactivity and regulation in maltreated children: A meta-analysis. *Child Development, 90*(5), 1503-1524.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13272>
- Leist, T., & Dadds, M. R. (2009). Adolescents' ability to read different emotional faces relates to their history of maltreatment and type of psychopathology. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 14*(2), 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359104508100887>
- Lind, T., Bernard, K., Ross, E., & Dozier, M. (2014). Intervention effects on negative affect of CPS-referred children: Results of a randomized clinical trial. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 38*(9), 1459–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2014.04.004>
- Lindquist, K. A. (2013). Emotions emerge from more basic psychological ingredients: A modern psychological constructionist model. *Emotion Review, 5*(4), 356–368.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073913489750>
- Lindquist, K. A., & Barrett, L. F. (2008). Emotional complexity. In M. Lewis, J. Haviland-Jones & L. Feldman Barrett (Eds.), *Handbook of emotions* (3rd ed.), 513–530. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Lindquist, K. A., MacCormack, J. K., & Shablack, H. (2015). The role of language in emotion: Predictions from psychological constructionism. *Frontiers in Psychology, 6*.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00444>
- Lindquist, K. A., Satpute, A. B., Wager, T. D., Weber, J., & Barrett, L. F. (2016). The brain basis of positive and negative affect: Evidence from a meta-analysis of the human neuroimaging literature. *Cerebral Cortex, 26*(5), 1910–1922.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv001>

- Lougheed, J. P., & Hollenstein, T. (2012). A limited repertoire of emotion regulation strategies is associated with internalizing problems in adolescence: Adolescent emotion regulation patterns. *Social Development, 21*(4), 704–721. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2012.00663.x>
- MacCormack, J.K., Stein, A.G., Kang, J., Giovanello, K.S., Satpute, A.B., & Lindquist, K.A. (2020). Affect in the aging brain: A neuroimaging meta-analysis of older vs. younger adult affective experience and perception. *Affective Science, 1*, 128-154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42761-020-00016-8>
- Machlin, L., Miller, A. B., Snyder, J., McLaughlin, K. A., & Sheridan, M. A. (2019). Differential associations of deprivation and threat with cognitive control and fear conditioning in early childhood. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 13*, 80. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00080>
- Malatesta, C. Z., Culver, C., Tesman, J. R., Shepard, B., Fogel, A., Reimers, M., & Zivin, G. (1989). The development of emotion expression during the first two years of life. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 54*(1/2), i. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1166153>
- Malatesta, C. Z., & Haviland, J. M. (1982). Learning display rules: The socialization of emotion expression in infancy. *Child Development, 53*, 991-1003. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1129139>
- Markova, G., & Legerstee, M. (2006). Contingency, imitation, and affect sharing: Foundations of infants' social awareness. *Developmental Psychology, 42*(1), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.42.1.132>

- Marusak, H. A., Martin, K. R., Etkin, A., & Thomason, M. E. (2015). Childhood trauma exposure disrupts the automatic regulation of emotional processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *40*(5), 1250–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.311>
- Maschi, T., Bradley, C. A., & Morgen, K. (2008). Unraveling the link between trauma and delinquency: The mediating role of negative affect and delinquent peer exposure. *Youth Violence and Juvenile Justice*, *6*(2), 136–157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541204007305527>
- Masten, C. L., Guyer, A. E., Hodgdon, H. B., McClure, E. B., Charney, D. S., Ernst, M., Kaufman, J., Pine, D. S., & Monk, C. S. (2008). Recognition of facial emotions among maltreated children with high rates of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *32*(1), 139–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2007.09.006>
- Mathews, B. L., Koehn, A. J., Abtahi, M. M., & Kerns, K. A. (2016). Emotional competence and anxiety in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *19*(2), 162–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-016-0204-3>
- Maughan, A., & Cicchetti, D. (2002). Impact of child maltreatment and interadult violence on children's emotion regulation abilities and socioemotional adjustment. *Child Development*, *73*(5), 1525–1542. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00488>
- McCrory, E. J., De Brito, S. A., Kelly, P. A., Bird, G., Sebastian, C. L., Mechelli, A., Samuel, S., & Viding, E. (2013). Amygdala activation in maltreated children during pre-attentive emotional processing. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *202*(4), 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.112.116624>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Busso, D. S., Duys, A., Green, J. G., Alves, S., Way, M., & Sheridan, M. A. (2014). Amygdala response to negative stimuli predicts PTSD symptom onset following

a terrorist attack. *Depression and Anxiety*, 31(10), 834–842.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22284>

McLaughlin, K. A., Peverill, M., Gold, A. L., Alves, S., & Sheridan, M. A. (2015). Child maltreatment and neural systems underlying emotion regulation. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 54(9), 753–762.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2015.06.010>

McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., Alves, S., & Mendes, W. B. (2014). Child maltreatment and autonomic nervous system reactivity: Identifying dysregulated stress reactivity patterns by using the biopsychosocial model of challenge and threat. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 76(7), 538–546. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000098>

McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., Gold, A. L., Duys, A., Lambert, H. K., Peverill, M., Heleniak, C., Shechner, T., Wojcieszak, Z., & Pine, D. S. (2016). Maltreatment exposure, brain structure, and fear conditioning in children and adolescents.

*Neuropsychopharmacology*, 41(8), 1956–1964. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2015.365>

McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., & Lambert, H. K. (2014). Childhood adversity and neural development: Deprivation and threat as distinct dimensions of early experience. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 47, 578–591.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.10.012>

McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., & Nelson, C. A. (2017). Neglect as a violation of species-expectant experience: Neurodevelopmental consequences. *Biological Psychiatry*, 82, 462–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.02.1096>

McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., Tibu, F., Fox, N. A., Zeanah, C. H., & Nelson, C. A. (2015). Causal effects of the early caregiving environment on development of stress

- response systems in children. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(18), 5637–5642. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423363112>
- McRae, K., Gross, J. J., Weber, J., Robertson, E. R., Sokol-Hessner, P., Ray, R. D., Gabrieli, J. D. E., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). The development of emotion regulation: An fMRI study of cognitive reappraisal in children, adolescents and young adults. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *7*(1), 11–22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsr093>
- Miller, A. L., Fine, S. E., Kiely Gouley, K., Seifer, R., Dickstein, S., & Shields, A. (2006). Showing and telling about emotions: Interrelations between facets of emotional competence and associations with classroom adjustment in Head Start preschoolers. *Cognition and Emotion*, *20*(8), 1170-1192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930500405691>
- Miller, A. L., Gouley, K. K., Seifer, R., Zakriski, A., Eguia, M., & Vergnani, M. (2005). Emotion knowledge skills in low-income elementary school children: Associations with social status and peer experiences. *Social Development*, *14*(4), 637-651. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2005.00321.x>
- Miller, A. B., Sheridan, M. A., Hanson, J. L., McLaughlin, K. A., Bates, J. E., Lansford, J. E., Pettit, G. S., & Dodge, K. A. (2018). Dimensions of deprivation and threat, psychopathology, and potential mediators: A multi-year longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *127*(2), 160–170. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000331>
- Milojevich, H. M., & Haskett, M. E. (2018). Longitudinal associations between physically abusive parents' emotional expressiveness and children's self-regulation. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *77*, 144-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.01.011>

- Milojevich, H. M., Levine, L. J., Cathcart, E. J., & Quas, J. A. (2018). The role of maltreatment in the development of coping strategies. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 54*, 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2017.10.005>
- Milojevich, H. M., Machlin, L., & Sheridan, M. A. (2020). Early adversity and children's emotion regulation: Differential roles of parent emotion regulation and adversity exposure. *Development and Psychopathology, 32*(5), 1788-1798. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579420001273>
- Milojevich, H. M., Norwalk, K. E., & Sheridan, M. A. (2019). Deprivation and threat, emotion dysregulation, and psychopathology: Concurrent and longitudinal associations. *Development and Psychopathology, 31*(3), 847–857. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000294>
- Milojevich, H. M., Russell, M. A., & Quas, J. A. (2018). Unpacking the Associations Among Maltreatment, Disengagement Coping, and Behavioral Functioning in High-Risk Youth. *Child Maltreatment, 23*(4), 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559518778805>
- Montirosso, R., Peverelli, M., Frigerio, E., Crespi, M., & Borgatti, R. (2010). The development of dynamic facial expression recognition at different intensities in 4- to 18-Year-Olds. *Social Development, 19*(1), 71–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2008.00527.x>
- Morris, A. S., Silk, J. S., Morris, M. D. S., Steinberg, L., Aucoin, K. J., & Keyes, A. W. (2011). The influence of mother–child emotion regulation strategies on children’s expression of anger and sadness. *Developmental Psychology, 47*(1), 213–225. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021021>

- Morris, A. S., Silk, J. S., Steinberg, L., Myers, S. S., & Robinson, L. R. (2007). The role of the family context in the development of emotion regulation. *Social Development, 16*(2), 361–388. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00389.x>
- Nock, M. K., Wedig, M. M., Holmberg, E. B., & Hooley, J. M. (2008). The Emotion Reactivity Scale: Development, evaluation, and relation to self-injurious thoughts and behaviors. *Behavior Therapy, 39*(2), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2007.05.005>
- Nook, E. C., Sasse, S. F., Lambert, H. K., McLaughlin, K. A., & Somerville, L. H. (2018). The nonlinear development of emotion differentiation: Granular emotional experience is low in adolescence. *Psychological Science, 29*(8), 1346–1357. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797618773357>
- Nook, E. C., Stavish, C. M., Sasse, S. F., Lambert, H. K., Mair, P., McLaughlin, K. A., & Somerville, L. H. (2020). Charting the development of emotion comprehension and abstraction from childhood to adulthood using observer-rated and linguistic measures. *Emotion, 20*, 773-792. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000609>
- Oberle, E. (2018). Social-emotional competence and early adolescents' peer acceptance in school: Examining the role of afternoon cortisol. *PLOS ONE, 13*(2), e0192639. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192639>
- Ochsner, K. N., Ray, R. D., Cooper, J. C., Robertson, E. R., Chopra, S., Gabrieli, J. D., & Gross, J. J. (2004). For better or for worse: neural systems supporting the cognitive down-and up-regulation of negative emotion. *Neuroimage, 23*(2), 483-499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.06.030>



- Pears, K. C., & Fisher, P. A. (2005). Emotion understanding and theory of mind among maltreated children in foster care: Evidence of deficits. *Development and Psychopathology*, *17*(01). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579405050030>
- Penza-Clyve, S., & Zeman, J. (2002). Initial validation of the emotion expression scale for children (EESC). *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, *31*(4), 540-547. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424JCCP3104\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15374424JCCP3104_12)
- Perez Rivera, M. B., & Dunsmore, J. C. (2011). Mothers' acculturation and beliefs about emotions, mother-child emotion discourse, and children's emotion understanding in Latino families. *Early Education & Development*, *22*(2), 324-354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409281003702000>
- Perlman, S. B., Kalish, C. W., & Pollak, S. D. (2008). The role of maltreatment experience in children's understanding of the antecedents of emotion. *Cognition & Emotion*, *22*(4), 651-670. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930701461154>
- Perwien, A. R., Kratochvil, C. J., Faries, D., Vaughan, B., Busner, J., Saylor, K. E., ... & Swindle, R. (2008). Emotional expression in children treated with ADHD medication: Development of a new measure. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *11*(5), 568-579. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054707306117>
- Pollak, S. D., & Kistler, D. J. (2002). Early experience is associated with the development of categorical representations for facial expressions of emotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *99*(13), 9072-9076. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.142165999>
- Pollak, S. D., Klorman, R., Thatcher, J. E., & Cicchetti, D. (2001). P3b reflects maltreated children's reactions to facial displays of emotion. *Psychophysiology*, *38*(2), 267-274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8986.3820267>

- Pollak, S. D., Cicchetti, D., Hornung, K., & Reed, A. (2000). Recognizing emotion in faces: Developmental effects of child abuse and neglect. *Developmental Psychology, 36*(5), 679–688. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0012-1649.36.5.679>
- Pollak, S. D., Messner, M., Kistler, D. J., & Cohn, J. F. (2009). Development of perceptual expertise in emotion recognition. *Cognition, 110*(2), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2008.10.010>
- Pollak, S. D., & Sinha, P. (2002). Effects of early experience on children's recognition of facial displays of emotion. *Developmental Psychology, 38*(5), 784–791. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0012-1649.38.5.784>
- Pons, F., Lawson, J., Harris, P. L., & De Rosnay, M. (2003). Individual differences in children's emotion understanding: Effects of age and language. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 44*(4), 347–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9450.00354>
- Poon, J. A., Turpyn, C. C., Hansen, A., Jacangelo, J., & Chaplin, T. M. (2016). Adolescent substance use & psychopathology: Interactive effects of cortisol reactivity and emotion regulation. *Cognitive Therapy and Research, 40*(3), 368–380. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-015-9729-x>
- Quas, J. A., Hong, M., Alkon, A., & Boyce, W. T. (2000). Dissociations between psychobiologic reactivity and emotional expression in children. *Developmental Psychobiology, 37*(3), 153–175. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2302\(200011\)37:3<153::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2302(200011)37:3<153::AID-DEV4>3.0.CO;2-Y)
- Raver, C. C., Blair, C., & Garrett-Peters, P. (2015). Poverty, household chaos, and interparental aggression predict children's ability to recognize and modulate negative emotions. *Development and Psychopathology, 27*(3), 695–708. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579414000935>

- Raver, C. C., & Spagnola, M. (2002). When my mommy was angry, I was speechless: Children's perceptions of maternal emotional expressiveness within the context of economic hardship. *Marriage & Family Review, 34*(1–2), 63–88.  
[https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n01\\_04](https://doi.org/10.1300/J002v34n01_04)
- Rieffe, C., Oosterveld, P., Miers, A. C., Terwogt, M. M., & Ly, V. (2008). Emotion awareness and internalising symptoms in children and adolescents: The Emotion Awareness Questionnaire revised. *Personality and Individual Differences, 45*(8), 756–761.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2008.08.001>
- Robinson, L. R., Morris, A. S., Heller, S. S., Scheeringa, M. S., Boris, N. W., & Smyke, A. T. (2009). Relations between emotion regulation, parenting, and psychopathology in young maltreated children in out of home care. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 18*(4), 421–434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-008-9246-6>
- Rosenblum, K. L., McDonough, S., Muzik, M., Miller, A., & Sameroff, A. (2002). Maternal representations of the infant: Associations with infant response to the Still Face. *Child Development, 73*(4), 999–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00453>
- Ruba, A. L., Meltzoff, A. N., & Repacholi, B. M. (2020). Superordinate categorization of negative facial expressions in infancy: The influence of labels. *Developmental Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000892>
- Ruba, A. L., & Pollak, S. D. (2020). The development of emotion reasoning in infancy and early childhood. *Annual Review of Developmental Psychology, 2*, 503–531.
- Rubin, K. H., Coplan, R. J., Fox, N. A., & Calkins, S. D. (1995). Emotionality, emotion regulation, and preschoolers' social adaptation. *Development and Psychopathology, 7*(1), 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579400006337>

- Russell, J. A. (2003). Core affect and the psychological construction of emotion. *Psychological Review*, *110*(1), 145–172. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.110.1.145>
- Russell, J. A., Bachorowski, J.-A., & Fernández-Dols, J.-M. (2003). Facial and Vocal Expressions of Emotion. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *54*(1), 329–349. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145102>
- Saarni, C. (1979). Children's understanding of display rules for expressive behavior. *Developmental Psychology*, *15*(4), 424–429. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.15.4.424>
- Saarni, C. (1999). *The development of emotional competence*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, *9*(3), 185-211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>
- Schäfer, J. Ö., Naumann, E., Holmes, E. A., Tuschen-Caffier, B., & Samson, A. C. (2017). Emotion regulation strategies in depressive and anxiety symptoms in youth: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *46*(2), 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0585-0>
- Schultz, D., Izard, C. E., Ackerman, B. P., & Youngstrom, E. A. (2001). Emotion knowledge in economically disadvantaged children: Self-regulatory antecedents and relations to social difficulties and withdrawal. *Development and Psychopathology*, *13*(1), 53-67. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579401001043>
- Shablack, H., Becker, M., & Lindquist, K. A. (2020). How do children learn novel emotion words? A study of emotion concept acquisition in preschoolers. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *149*(8), 1537–1553. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000727>
- Shablack, H., & Lindquist, K. A. (2019). The role of language in emotional development. In *Handbook of Emotional Development* (pp. 451-478). Springer, Cham.

- Shackman, J. E., & Pollak, S. D. (2014). Impact of physical maltreatment on the regulation of negative affect and aggression. *Development and Psychopathology, 26*(4pt1), 1021–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579414000546>
- Shenk, C. E., Griffin, A. M., & O'Donnell, K. J. (2015). Symptoms of major depressive disorder subsequent to child maltreatment: Examining change across multiple levels of analysis to identify transdiagnostic risk pathways. *Development and Psychopathology, 27*, 1503–1514. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579415000905>
- Sheridan, M. A., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2014). Dimensions of early experience and neural development: Deprivation and threat. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18*(11), 580–585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.09.001>
- Sheridan, M. A., Peverill, M., Finn, A. S., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2017). Dimensions of childhood adversity have distinct associations with neural systems underlying executive functioning. *Development and Psychopathology, 29*(5), 1777–1794. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579417001390>
- Sheridan, M. A., Shi, F., Miller, A. B., Sahali, C., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2019). Network structure reveals clusters of associations between childhood adversities and development outcomes. *Developmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12934>
- Shields, A., & Cicchetti, D. (1997). Emotion regulation among school-age children: The development and validation of a new criterion Q-sort scale. *Developmental Psychology, 33*(6), 906–916.
- Shields, A. M., Cicchetti, D., & Ryan, R. M. (1994). The development of emotional and behavioral self-regulation and social competence among maltreated school-age children.

*Development and Psychopathology*, 6(01), 57–75.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579400005885>

Shipman, K. L., Schneider, R., Fitzgerald, M. M., Sims, C., Swisher, L., & Edwards, A. (2007).

Maternal emotion socialization in maltreating and non-maltreating families: Implications for children's emotion regulation. *Social Development*, 16(2), 268–285.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00384.x>

Silk, J. S., Steinberg, L., & Morris, A. S. (2003). Adolescents' emotion regulation in daily life:

Links to depressive symptoms and problem behavior. *Child Development*, 74(6), 1869–

1880. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-8624.2003.00643.x>

Silvers, J. A., McRae, K., Gabrieli, J. D. E., Gross, J. J., Remy, K. A., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012).

Age-related differences in emotional reactivity, regulation, and rejection sensitivity in adolescence. *Emotion*, 12(6), 1235–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028297>

Southam-Gerow, M. A., & Kendall, P. C. (2002). Emotion regulation and understanding:

Implications for child psychopathology and therapy. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22(2),

189–222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358\(01\)00087-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(01)00087-3)

Speidel, R., Wang, L., Cummings, E. M., & Valentino, K. (2020). Longitudinal pathways of

family influence on child self-regulation: The roles of parenting, family expressiveness,

and maternal sensitive guidance in the context of child maltreatment. *Developmental*

*Psychology*, 56(3), 608–622. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000782>

Stivanin, L., dos Santos, F. P., de Oliveira, C. C. C., dos Santos, B., Ribeiro, S. T., & Scivoletto,

S. (2015). Auditory-perceptual analysis of voice in abused children and adolescents.

*Brazilian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*, 81(1), 71–78.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2014.11.006>

- Sullivan, M. W., Bennett, D. S., Carpenter, K., & Lewis, M. (2008). Emotion knowledge in young neglected children. *Child Maltreatment, 13*(3), 301-306.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559507313725>
- Sullivan, M. W., Carmody, D. P., & Lewis, M. (2010). How neglect and punitiveness influence emotion knowledge. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 41*(3), 285–298.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-009-0168-3>
- Tarullo, A. R., Bruce, J., & Gunnar, M. R. (2007). False belief and emotion understanding in post-institutionalized children. *Social Development, 16*(1), 57–78.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00372.x>
- Thompson, R. A. (1994). Emotion regulation: A theme in search of definition. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 59*, 25-52.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1166137>
- Thompson, R. A., & Goodman, M. (2010). Development of emotion regulation: More than meets the eye. In A. Kring, & D. Sloan (Eds.), *Emotion regulation and psychopathology* (pp. 38–58). New York, NY: Guilford
- Tonks, J., Williams, W. H., Frampton, I., Yates, P., & Slater, A. (2007). Assessing emotion recognition in 9–15-years olds: Preliminary analysis of abilities in reading emotion from faces, voices and eyes. *Brain Injury, 21*(6), 623–629.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02699050701426865>
- Tottenham, N., Hare, T. A., Quinn, B. T., McCarry, T. W., Nurse, M., Gilhooly, T., ... & Thomas, K. M. (2010). Prolonged institutional rearing is associated with atypically large amygdala volume and difficulties in emotion regulation. *Developmental Science, 13*, 46-61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00852.x>

- Tracy, J. L., & Robins, R. W. (2008). The automaticity of emotion recognition. *Emotion*, 8(1), 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.8.1.81>
- Trentacosta, C. J., & Fine, S. E. (2010). Emotion knowledge, social competence, and behavior problems in childhood and adolescence: A meta-analytic review. *Social Development*, 19(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.2009.00543.x>
- Ursache, A., Blair, C., Stifter, C., Voegtline, K., & The Family Life Project Investigators. (2013). Emotional reactivity and regulation in infancy interact to predict executive functioning in early childhood. *Developmental Psychology*, 49(1), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027728>
- Ursache, A., Blair, C., Granger, D. A., Stifter, C., Voegtline, K., & Family Life Project Investigators. (2014). Behavioral reactivity to emotion challenge is associated with cortisol reactivity and regulation at 7, 15, and 24 months of age. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 56(3), 474–488. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21113>
- Widen, S. C. (2013). Children’s interpretation of facial expressions: The long path from valence-based to specific discrete categories. *Emotion Review*, 5(1), 72–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073912451492>
- Widen, S. C., & Russell, J. A. (2008). Children acquire emotion categories gradually. *Cognitive Development*, 23(2), 291–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2008.01.002>
- Winer, A. C., & Thompson, R. (2013). How poverty and depression impact a child’s social and emotional competence. *Policy Brief, Center for Poverty Research*, 1(10), 1-2.
- Zelkowitz, R. L., & Cole, D. A. (2016). Measures of emotion reactivity and emotion regulation: Convergent and discriminant validity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 102, 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.06.045>



Zeman, J., Cassano, M., Perry-Parrish, C., & Stegall, S. (2006). Emotion regulation in children and adolescents. *Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics, 27*(2), 155–168.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/00004703-200604000-00014>

Zeman, J., & Shipman, K. (1997). Social-contextual influences on expectancies for managing anger and sadness: The transition from middle childhood to adolescence. *Developmental Psychology, 33*(6), 917–924. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.33.6.917>

Table 1. *Definitions of Emotional Functioning Domains*

Domain	Definition	Measurement
Expression	The facial, bodily, and vocal behaviors associated with emotional experiences	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Observations of naturalistic settings<sup>a</sup> and laboratory-based tasks. Coded via established coding schemes (e.g., the Facial Action Coding System<sup>b</sup>)</li> <li>• Although less common, parent-report and other questionnaire measures exist<sup>c</sup></li> <li>• Emphasis on facial expressions thought to be representative of specific emotion categories consistent with the basic emotion literature<sup>b</sup></li> <li>• Little attention paid to measuring other modalities (i.e., vocal or bodily expressions), or multiple modalities simultaneously</li> </ul>
Perception	The ability to reasonably infer the emotional expressions of others using facial, vocal, and bodily cues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Most commonly, present children with a set of pictures via paper or computer in which unknown or known people (typically adults, but sometimes children) are making facial movements thought to be associated with discrete emotion categories, such as anger or happiness<sup>d</sup></li> <li>• Children are asked to infer the emotion displayed by naming it or selecting an emotion from a list of options.</li> <li>• Responses are scored for accuracy in identifying the intended emotion expression.</li> </ul>
Knowledge	The set of information that a person knows about individual emotion categories and beliefs about how emotions work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessed with self-report, or at times behaviorally</li> <li>• Ex: present children with a set of emotional stories and ask them to name which emotion they think the protagonist is experiencing<sup>e</sup>, or which facial configuration they think “matches” the emotional situation<sup>f</sup></li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other assessments include asking children to name all the different feelings they can think of<sup>g</sup>, define a set of emotion words<sup>h</sup>, or describe the cause and/or consequence of an emotion<sup>i</sup></li> </ul>
Reactivity	<p>The experience of emotions (a) in response to a wide array of stimuli (i.e., emotion sensitivity), (b) strongly or intensely (i.e., emotion intensity), and (c) for a prolonged period of time before returning to baseline level of arousal (i.e., emotion persistence)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measured via behavioral, questionnaire, peripheral physiological, and neuroimaging measures</li> <li>• Behavioral measures identify a change in an observed behavior when exposed to an evocative v. neutral stimulus</li> <li>• Self-report questionnaires (or often in the case of children, parent- or other-report questionnaires), ask individuals to report how strongly they experience emotions, under what contexts, and how persistently<sup>j</sup></li> <li>• Peripheral physiological measures assess the change in an objective measure of physiological responding (e.g., heart rate) when exposed to an evocative v. neutral stimulus</li> <li>• Neuroimaging studies examine the change in neural activation in brain structures such as the amygdala in response to evocative v. neutral stimuli</li> </ul>
Regulation	<p>The internal and external processes involved in initiating, maintaining, and modulating the occurrence, intensity, and expression of emotions</p> <p>Explicit/conscious: a conscious or deliberate desire to change an emotion</p> <p>Implicit/automatic: occurs outside of conscious desire to change one's emotions and engages limited control processes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measurement of emotion regulation varies widely depending on the form of regulation under study</li> <li>• More explicit and controlled forms of emotion regulation are typically measured via self- or other-report (e.g., parent-report Emotion Regulation Checklist<sup>k</sup>)</li> <li>• Other measures include presenting children with vignettes depicting emotional situations and asking children to name regulation strategies that the protagonist could use<sup>l</sup>, asking children to self-report on emotional events and the types of regulations strategies that they used to deal with their emotions<sup>m</sup>, observations of children's emotional reactions in play or laboratory-based tasks<sup>o</sup>, or</li> </ul>

---

directing children to engage in strategies such as distancing from emotional images<sup>p</sup>

- Behavioral tasks may obscure the distinction among emotion expression, reactivity, and regulation as these tasks are constrained to behavior rather than thoughts or physiological responses

---

Note. <sup>a</sup>Camras et al., 1990; Hernández et al., 2016; Quas, Hong, Alkon, & Boyce, 2000. <sup>b</sup>Ekman & Friesen, 1978. <sup>c</sup>Kerns, Comer, & Zeman, 2014; Penza-Clyve & Zeman, 2002; Perwien et al., 2008. <sup>d</sup>Pollak et al., 2000. <sup>e</sup>Schultz, Izard, Ackerman, & Youngstrom, 2001. <sup>f</sup>Sullivan, Bennett, Carpenter, & Lewis, 2008. <sup>g</sup>Miller et al., 2005. <sup>h</sup>Nook et al., 2020. <sup>i</sup>Pons, Lawson, Harris, & De Rosnay, 2003. <sup>j</sup>Nock et al., 2008. <sup>k</sup>Shields & Cicchetti, 1997. <sup>l</sup>Cole et al., 2009. <sup>m</sup>Milojevich et al., 2018. <sup>o</sup>Rubin, Coplan, Fox, & Calkins, 1995. <sup>p</sup>Silvers et al. 2012.

Table 2. *Summary of Previous Literature on Emotion Perception in Adversity-Exposed Children*

	<b>Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Age Range</b>	<b>Emotion Perception Task</b>	<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Findings</b>
<b><u>Child Maltreatment (subtypes combined)</u></b>					
Ardizzi et al. (2015)	N = 62	4-12	Forced-choice facial expressions of emotion task. Participants identified adults' facial expressions of emotions choosing one of the four proposed labels (i.e., anger, fear, joy, sadness)- 64 total trials	Tendency rate, false alarms, accuracy	Maltreatment associated with bias for anger expressions
Camras et al. (1988)	N = 40	3-7 years	20 brief stories: 12 pure and 8 masked emotion stories (2 per emotion), happy, surprised, angry, disgusted, afraid or sad.	Accuracy	Maltreatment-exposed children less accurate across all emotions relative to non-exposed children
Camras, Grow, & Robordy (1983)	N = 34	3-6 years	12 brief stories: happy, surprised, angry, disgusted, afraid or sad.	Accuracy	Maltreatment-exposed children less accurate across all emotions relative to non-maltreated
Camras et al. (1990)	N = 40	3-7 years	20 emotion stories; children had to match emotional expressions to the emotion being described in the story	Accuracy	Maltreatment associated with less accuracy in recognizing pure and masked emotional expressions

	<b>Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Age Range</b>	<b>Emotion Perception Task</b>	<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Findings</b>
Koizumi & Takagishi (2014)	N = 129	6-17 years	Participants look at pictures of human eyes and to choose the emotion that best fits the picture (positive, negative, and neutral emotions assessed).	Accuracy	Maltreated children less accurate at positive emotion perception. No differences for negative emotions.
Leist & Dadds (2009)	N = 23	16-18 years	Happy, sad, angry, fearful, disgusted and neutral expressions displayed; a total of 36 presentations. Participant indicated the most appropriate emotion for each face.	Accuracy	Maltreatment was predictive of superior perception of fear and sadness.
Masten et al. (2008)	N = 46	8-15 years	Facial expressions ranged from happy to neutral to fearful	Reaction time; Accuracy	Maltreated children show heightened ability to identify fearful faces, evidenced by faster reaction times relative to controls.
Pears & Fisher (2005)	N = 91	3-5 years	Children were shown four drawings of faces depicting happy, sad, angry, and scared emotions	Accuracy	Maltreatment was associated with worse emotion perception capabilities, even when accounting for age, intelligence, and executive function.

Shenk, Putnam, & Noll (2013)	N = 106	14-19 years (girls only)	Dynamic Affect Recognition and Evaluation task: video started with a neutral facial expression and transitioned into an expression of 1 of 6 emotions: happiness, sadness, fear, surprise, disgust, or anger	Accuracy and latency	CM status not related to the latency of affect perception. CM group less accurate in recognizing fear; marginal effect for anger. No diffs by mal subtype.
------------------------------	---------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	Total Sample Size	Age Range	Emotion Perception Task	Outcome Measure	Findings
<b><u>Physical Abuse</u></b>					
During & McMahon (1991)	N = 46	2.5-9.5 years	2 sets (kid vs adult) of photographs of 6 emotional expressions (happiness, sadness, anger, fear, surprise, and disgust)	Accuracy	PA children scored lower on accuracy relative to non-PA children.
Pollak et al. (2000)- Study 1	N = 48	3-5 years	25 vignettes (happiness, sadness, disgust, fear, and anger). Child shown 3 photos of models exhibiting different facial expressions (the correct expression and two distractors) and asked to point to the face appropriate for the protagonist in the story.	Accuracy; Bias	PA children displayed a response bias for angry facial expressions.
Pollak et al. (2000)- Study 2	N = 48	3-5 years	Stimuli were 42 photos of facial expressions (anger, happiness,	Accuracy, Similarity Ratings	PA kids and controls perceived more distinction between

			sadness, fear, disgust, and neutrality). Each child was shown 2 photos of models posing emotional expressions. Child indicated whether the two models were expressing the same or different feelings. Each sequence begins with a neutral expression and ends with the target actions. There were four sequences for each of five emotions: angry, happy, fear, sad, and surprise.		anger and other negative emotional expressions than did PN children.
	<b>Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Age Range</b>	<b>Emotion Perception Task</b>	<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Findings</b>
Pollak et al. (2009)	N = 95	9 years		Sensitivity	PA children more sensitivity to anger, no other differences emerged.
Pollak & Kistler (2002)	N = 40	9 years	Morphed images: happiness to fearfulness, happiness to sadness, anger to fearfulness, and anger to sadness. Participants indicated which emotion the face most resembled by touching one of two labels that appeared beneath the image on the touch monitor.	Perceptual Threshold	Controls tended to under-identify anger, whereas the abused children over-identified anger.
Pollak & Sinha (2002)	N = 47	8-10 years	Four each of angry, happy, sad, and fearful facial images. Morphs from neutral to clear depiction of given emotion.	Sensitivity and Bias to each emotion	Physically abused children accurately identified facial displays of anger on the basis of less sensory input than did controls



Shackman & Pollak (2005)	N = 63	7-12 years	Facial emotional expressions of mother vs control presented with vocal emotional expressions. Children asked to report what adult was feeling (angry, sad, happy)	Accuracy	PA kids processed anger more accurately than controls. Groups did not differ for happiness or sadness. PA kids identified anger more and sadness less frequently when produced by their own mothers
<b><u>Neglect</u></b>					
Pollak et al. (2000)- Study 1	N = 48	3-5 years	25 vignettes (happiness, sadness, disgust, fear, and anger). Child shown 3 photos different facial expressions (the correct expression and two distractors) and asked to point to the face appropriate for the protagonist in the story.	Accuracy; Bias	PN children had more difficulty discriminating emotional expressions than did control or PA children.
	<b>Total Sample Size</b>	<b>Age Range</b>	<b>Emotion Perception Task</b>	<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Findings</b>
Pollak et al. (2000)- Study 2	N = 48	3-5 years	Stimuli were 42 photos of facial expressions (anger, happiness, sadness, fear, disgust, and neutrality). Each child was shown 2 photos of models posing emotional expressions. Child indicated whether the two models were expressing the same or different feelings.	Accuracy, Similarity Ratings	PN children perceived less distinction between angry, sad, and fearful expressions than did controls;

**Other Forms of Adversity**

Fries & Pollak (2004)	N = 39	4.5 years	One task required children to identify photographs of facial expressions of emotion. A second task required children to match facial expressions to an emotional situation	Accuracy, sensitivity and response bias	PI children correctly identified fewer facial expressions of emotion than controls. PI children used more liberal criteria for selecting angry faces than comparison children.
Raver et al. (2015)	N = 1025	6-58 months	Assessment of Children's Emotion Scale (ACES): photos of children making faces that either clearly depict an emotion (joy, sadness, anger, or fear) or demonstrate no emotion at all are shown. Children are asked to indicate if the child in the picture is feeling happy, sad, mad, scared, or is not feeling anything at all across eight trials.	Accuracy	Exposure to greater levels of interparental conflict, more household chaos, and more years in poverty predicted poorer perception of negative emotion

**Population-Based Sample of Adversity-Exposed Children**

Dunn et al. (2018)	N = 6506	8.5 years	Faces subtest of the Diagnostic Assessment of Non-Verbal Accuracy: 24 pictures of child faces (high vs low intensity) 4 different emotions	Inaccuracy (total and per emotion)	No differences between exposed and non-exposed kids in emotion perception
--------------------	----------	-----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------

*Note.* PA = physical abuse; PN = physical neglect; PI = previously institutionalized.



Table 3. *Distinguishing Emotion Reactivity from Emotion Regulation***Challenges Assessing Reactivity and Regulation**

1. Emotional reactivity interacts with and limits the effectiveness of emotion regulation<sup>a</sup>
2. Behavioral and questionnaire measures are particularly prone to conflating these domains
3. Children often lack the linguistic skills or emotional awareness to accurately report on reactivity and regulation<sup>b</sup>
4. Reliance on reports by others (typically parents) to understand the nature of children's emotional functioning<sup>c</sup>
  - a. Regulation items such as "exhibits wide mood swings" or "can recover quickly from episodes of upset or distress" closely align with classic definitions of reactivity<sup>d</sup>
5. "Implicit emotion regulation" further confounds the measurement of reactivity v. regulation
  - a. Unless measures are employed that can weigh in on whether behavior or self-reported emotional intensity are a product of relatively increased arousal or not<sup>e</sup>

---

*Note.* <sup>a</sup>Poon et al., 2016. <sup>b</sup>Cole et al., 2004. <sup>c</sup>Emotion Regulation Checklist (ERC); Shields & Cicchetti, 1997. <sup>d</sup>Nock et al., 2008. <sup>e</sup>Etkin, 2011; Gyurak et al., 2011; for review, Mauss et al., 2007; although see Buhle, Smith & Wager, 2010 for evidence that this effect may not be specific to emotion regulation.

Figure 1. *Linking Emotional Functioning to Emotional and Adversity Theories*

